

**BIRKBECK**  
(University of London)

**MSc EXAMINATION FOR INTERNAL STUDENTS**

**MSc STATISTICS etc**

**School of Economics, Mathematics, and Statistics**

**PROBABILITY THEORY**

**ANSWERS**

**200805011035**

---

1. **[This question is essentially bookwork.]** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent, identically distributed Bernoulli random variables for which  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = \pm 1)$ , for all  $i$ , and define the scaled average

$$A_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

- (a) Show that  $\mathbb{E}A_n = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(A_n^2) = 1$ .

**2 pts**

**ANS:** Firstly,  $\mathbb{E}X_i = (1/2)(1 + (-1)) = 0$ , which implies that the scaled sum  $A_n$  also satisfies  $\mathbb{E}A_n = 0$ . Further,  $\mathbb{E}(X_i^2) = (1/2)(1+1) = 1$ , so the independence of  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(A_n^2) &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_i^2) + \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}(X_i X_j) \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq j} (\mathbb{E}X_i)(\mathbb{E}X_j) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

It's also fine if students observe that the variance of a sum of independent random variables is the sum of their variances.

- (b) State Chebyshev's inequality and apply it to show that

$$\mathbb{P}(|A_n| \geq t) \leq \frac{1}{t^2},$$

for any  $t > 0$ .

**3 pts**

**ANS:** Chebyshev's inequality states that, if  $V$  is any random variable for which the mean  $\mathbb{E}V = \mu$  and variance  $\mathbb{E}[(V - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2$  exist, then

$$\mathbb{P}(|V - \mu| \geq t) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2}, \quad t > 0.$$

Applying this to  $V = A_n$  gives the stated inequality.

- (c) Using the Markov inequality

$$e^{ct} \mathbb{P}(A_n \geq t) \leq \mathbb{E}e^{cA_n},$$

for any  $c > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , prove that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \geq t) \leq e^{-ct} \left( \frac{e^{c/\sqrt{n}} + e^{-c/\sqrt{n}}}{2} \right)^n. \quad (*)$$

**3 pts**

**ANS:** Markov's inequality implies

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \geq t) \leq e^{-ct} \mathbb{E}e^{cA_n},$$

and, by independence of the  $\{X_i\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}e^{cA_n} &= \mathbb{E} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{(c/\sqrt{n})X_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{(c/\sqrt{n})X_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{e^{c/\sqrt{n}} + e^{-c/\sqrt{n}}}{2} \right) = \left( \frac{e^{c/\sqrt{n}} + e^{-c/\sqrt{n}}}{2} \right)^n.\end{aligned}$$

(d) Derive the inequality

$$\frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \leq e^{x^2/2}, \quad \text{for } x \geq 0,$$

using the Taylor series of the exponential function. Hence use (\*) to show that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \geq t) \leq e^{-ct+c^2/2}. \quad (**)$$

**4 pts**

**ANS:** We have

$$e^{x^2/2} - (e^x + e^{-x})/2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{2k} \left( \frac{1}{2^k k!} - \frac{1}{(2k)!} \right). \quad (\dagger)$$

Further,

$$(2k)! = (2k)(2k-1)(2k-2) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \geq (2k)(2k-2)(2k-4) \cdots 4 \cdot 2 \geq 2^k k!,$$

which implies that every Taylor coefficient in (\dagger) is non-negative.

(e) Using (\*\*), derive the Bernstein–Azuma–Hoeffding inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}(|A_n| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/2}$$

**4 pts**

**ANS:** Inequality (\*\*) is valid for any  $c > 0$ , so we choose  $c$  to minimize the upper bound of (\*\*). Now

$$\frac{c^2}{2} - ct = \frac{1}{2} ((c-t)^2 - t^2) \geq -t^2,$$

the minimum occurring when  $c = t$ , so that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \geq t) \leq e^{-t^2/2}.$$

Further,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \leq -t) \leq e^{-t^2/2},$$

by symmetry of the distribution of  $A_n$  (or by minor modification of the above derivation). Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(|A_n| \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(A_n \geq t) + \mathbb{P}(A_n \leq -t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/2},$$

since  $\{A_n \geq t\} \cap \{A_n \leq -t\} = \emptyset$ , for  $t > 0$ .

- (f) Show that the characteristic function  $\phi_{A_n}(z) = \mathbb{E} \exp(izA_n)$  is given by

$$\phi_{A_n}(z) = \cos^n(z/\sqrt{n}).$$

Prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{A_n}(z) = \exp(-z^2/2)$ .

**4 pts**

**ANS:** Setting  $c = iz$  and applying  $\cos \theta = (\exp(i\theta) + \exp(-i\theta))/2$  to the calculation of  $\mathbb{E} \exp(cA_n)$  in part (c), we obtain the CF. Hence

$$\phi_{A_n}(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2n} + o(1)\right)^n \rightarrow e^{-z^2/2},$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

2. (a) [**Variant on standard problem.**] A program generates passwords  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$  of length  $m$ , the component characters being chosen uniformly and independently from an alphabet of  $N$  symbols. In other words,  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = s_k) = 1/N$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq N$  and  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We shall say that a password is *non-redundant* if its component characters are all different.
- i. Show that the probability  $p_m$  that a password of length  $m$  is non-redundant is given by

$$p_m = \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right).$$

5 pts

**ANS:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} p_m &= \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)\cdots(N-m+1)}{N^m} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{N}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{N}\right), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

- ii. Prove the inequality  $1 - x \leq \exp(-x)$ , for  $x \geq 0$ . Hence prove that

$$p_m \leq e^{-\frac{(m-1)m}{2N}}.$$

Furthermore, show that  $p_m \leq 10^{-q}$  if  $m \geq c\sqrt{N}$ , where  $c = \sqrt{2q \ln 10}$ . [Hint: One possible derivation of the inequality begins with the integral  $\int_0^x \exp(-s) ds$ .]

5 pts

**ANS:** Using the hint,  $x \geq 0$ , and  $\exp(-x) \leq 1$ , we obtain

$$x \geq \int_0^x e^{-s} ds = 1 - e^{-x},$$

and rearranging implies  $\exp(-x) \geq 1 - x$ , for  $x \geq 0$ . Hence

$$p_m \leq \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} e^{-k/N} = e^{-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k} = e^{-\frac{m(m-1)}{2N}},$$

using the elementary fact that  $1 + 2 + \cdots + M = M(M+1)/2$ . Thus, to achieve  $p_m \leq 10^{-q} = \exp(-q \ln 10)$ , it's sufficient to choose  $m$  so large that  $\exp(-m(m-1)/(2N)) \leq \exp(-q \ln 10)$ , i.e.  $m(m-1) \geq 2Nq \ln 10$ . This is true, with room to spare, if  $(m-1)^2 \geq 2Nq$ , i.e. if  $m \geq 1 + \sqrt{2Nq}$ . Thus the original question contained a typo! In the end, I decided to leave this typo uncorrected to teach you a valuable lesson: use logic and experiment, not faith in authority. I have, however, marked it generously.

- (b) [**Variant on standard problem.**] Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent, identically distributed random variables with the exponential distribution at rate  $\lambda$ , that is, they share the probability density function

$$p_1(s) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda s}, & s \geq 0, \\ 0, & s < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a positive constant.

- i. Let  $p_n(s)$  be the probability density function for  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ . Show that

$$p_n(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^n s^{n-1} e^{-\lambda s}}{(n-1)!}, & s \geq 0, \\ 0, & s < 0. \end{cases}$$

**5 pts**

**ANS:** The students have seen two derivations of this result. Firstly, convolving the PDFs of  $X_1 + \dots + X_{n-1}$  and  $X_1$ ,

$$p_n(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{n-1}(y)p_1(s-y) dy = \int_0^s p_{n-1}(y)p_1(s-y) dy,$$

for  $s \geq 0$ , because the PDFs are nonzero if and only if  $y \geq 0$  and  $s - y \geq 0$ . We can now proceed by induction, noting that the given formula is correct when  $n = 1$ . Assuming its validity for  $n - 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(s) &= \int_0^s \left( \frac{\lambda^{n-1} y^{n-2} e^{-\lambda y}}{(n-2)!} \right) \lambda e^{-\lambda(s-y)} dy \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-2)!} e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^s y^{n-2} dy \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-2)!} e^{-\lambda s} \left[ \frac{y^{n-1}}{n-1} \right]_0^s \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!} s^{n-1} e^{-\lambda s}. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, the student might observe that, since the random vector  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  has PDF

$$q(\mathbf{s}) = p_1(s_1)p_1(s_2) \cdots p_1(s_n), \quad \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(a \leq \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{X} \leq b) = \int_{a \leq \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{s} \leq b, \mathbf{s} \geq 0} \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{s}} d\mathbf{s},$$

where  $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence geometry implies the relation

$$\mathbb{P}(a \leq \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{X} \leq b) = c_n \int_a^b \lambda^n e^{-\lambda u} u^{n-1} du,$$

for some constant  $c_n$ . To determine  $c_n$ , we set  $a = 0$  and  $b = \infty$ , whence

$$1 = c_n \int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda u} u^{n-1} du = c_n \int_0^\infty e^{-v} v^{n-1} dv = c_n (n-1)!,$$

on setting  $v = \lambda u$  and recalling the definition of the Gamma function.

- ii. Show that the characteristic function  $\phi_{X_1}(z) = \mathbb{E} \exp(izX_1)$  is given by

$$\phi_{X_1}(z) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - iz}.$$

Hence state the characteristic function for  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ .

**5 pts**

**ANS:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{X_1}(z) &= \mathbb{E} e^{izX_1} \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{izs} p_1(s) ds \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{s(iz-\lambda)} ds \\ &= \lambda \left[ \frac{e^{s(iz-\lambda)}}{(iz-\lambda)} \right]_0^\infty \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{iz-\lambda} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-iz}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\phi_{X_1+\dots+X_n}(z) = (\phi_{X_1}(z))^n = \frac{\lambda^n}{(\lambda-iz)^n},$$

since CFs satisfy  $\phi_{X+Y}(z) = \phi_X(z)\phi_Y(z)$  for independent random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ .