

Statistical Learning 2024 HW ANSWERS

Brad Baxter

20240321

1. (i). The SVD is the factorization $A = USV^T$, where $U \in O(m)$, $V \in O(n)$ and $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements satisfy

$$s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_n.$$

The diagonal elements of S are called the singular values of A .

3 pts

- (ii). Given any pair of matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, their Frobenius inner product is given by

$$\langle A, B \rangle_F = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} B_{ij}.$$

The Frobenius norm is defined by

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\langle A, A \rangle_F}.$$

4 pts

- (iii). If $A = (\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_n)$, then

$$\|QA\|_F^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \|Q\mathbf{a}_k\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \|\mathbf{a}_k\|_2^2 = \|A\|_F^2,$$

because an orthogonal matrix leaves the Euclidean norm of a vector unchanged.

Now

$$\|AR\|_F = \|(AR)^T\|_F = \|R^T A^T\|_F = \|A^T\|_F = \|A\|_F,$$

because $R \in O(n)$ if and only if $R^T \in O(n)$, and the Frobenius norm is invariant under the transpose operation, which is obvious from its definition.

4 pts

- (iv). We have

$$\|A - Q\|_F^2 = \|USV^T - Q\|_F^2 = \|U^T(USV^T - Q)V\|_F^2 = \|S - U^T QV\|_F^2,$$

since the Frobenius norm is invariant under pre- and post-multiplication by orthogonal matrices. Thus

$$\|A - Q\|_F^2 = \|S - W\|_F^2 = \langle S - W, S - W \rangle_F = \|S\|_F^2 - 2\langle S, W \rangle_F + \|W\|_F^2.$$

Now every column of an orthogonal matrix is a unit vector, which implies $\|W\|_F^2 = n$. Further, since S is a diagonal matrix, $\langle S, W \rangle_F = s_1 W_{11} + \cdots + s_n W_{nn}$. Therefore

$$\|A - Q\|_F^2 = \|S\|_F^2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n s_k W_{kk} + n = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 - 2s_k W_{kk} + 1.$$

4 pts

(v). We have

$$\|A - Q\|_F^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 + 1 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n s_k W_{kk}.$$

Thus minimizing $\|A - Q\|_F$ is equivalent to maximizing $\sum_{k=1}^n s_k W_{kk}$, for $W \in O(n)$. Now every column of an orthogonal matrix is a unit vector, so its diagonal elements satisfy $-1 \leq W_{kk} \leq 1$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^n s_k W_{kk} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n s_k,$$

with equality if $U^T Q V = W = I$, or $Q = UV^T$.

The Procrustes problem arises in many areas, but one possible application is in missile guidance systems, where A is a perturbed orthogonal matrix, generated by hardware, which specifies the orientation of the missile.

5 pts

2. (i). Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ be points in \mathbb{R}^d . The k -means algorithm is a simple method for iteratively updating a set of k cluster centres $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_k$. At the start of the algorithm, these points can be any vectors.

Now the k cluster centres partition \mathbb{R}^d into k clusters: we let the i th cluster C_i be those points in \mathbb{R}^d for which \mathbf{m}_i is the closest cluster centre, that is

$$C_i = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_i\| = \min_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_\ell\|\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and students are not expected to deal with ambiguous cases for which some points lie in more than one cluster. We then replace each cluster centre \mathbf{m}_i by the centroid of the subset of points in $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ which are contained in the i th-cluster (the centroid of a finite set of points $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_j$ is simply the sample average $(\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_j)/j$). The new cluster centres then define corresponding new centres, and we then repeat the procedure until the cluster centres converge.

8 pts

- (ii). We can summarize the links between websites by a single matrix containing 0s and 1s. Specifically, if there are N websites, then we let $W_{ij} = 1$ if site i links to site j and $i \neq j$, but otherwise set $W_{ij} = 0$. A

Page and Brin decided to rank these N websites by simulating user behaviour with a Markov model based on the connectivity matrix W . Specifically, we imagine vast numbers of users surfing the web in discrete time. At the k th step, the vector $\pi^{(k)}$ denotes the probability distribution for our users, that is, $\pi_i^{(k)}$ is the probability that a user is surfing site i at time k . We then let our users surf to new sites according to the transition matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, where

$$P_{ij} = \frac{W_{ij}}{\sum_{k=1}^N W_{ik}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N. \quad (1)$$

Further, we shall assume that $\sum_{k=1}^n W_{ik} \neq 0$, for all i , to avoid a zero denominator in the definition of P (we are assuming that there are no *dangling pages*, to use Google's jargon).

Thus the new probability vector is given by

$$\pi^{(k+1)} = P^T \pi^{(k)} \quad (2)$$

and, over time, we hope to obtain an *invariant measure* (or stationary probability vector) π . Unfortunately this Markov chain turns out to be inadequate, because most sites tend to fall into isolated clusters and it inherits this stagnation. One way to avoid this is a *teleporting random walk*: we choose a parameter $c \in (0, 1)$ and *either* use P with probability c , *or* move to one of the N websites with equal probability. Thus our new transition matrix is

$$M = cP + (1 - c) \frac{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}^T}{N}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The new invariant measure vector π now satisfies $M^T\pi = \pi$.

Page and Brin decided to define the *rank vector* $\mathbf{r} = N\pi$. Thus the last equation becomes

$$(I - cP^T)\mathbf{r} = (1 - c)\mathbf{e}. \quad (5)$$

This linear system contains N linear equations in N unknowns, but $N \approx 10^9$. Unfortunately, direct elimination requires $T(N) = CN^3$ seconds, where $T(10^3) \approx 1$ on basic modern computer. Hence elimination is completely unsuitable. Fortunately, a simple iterative algorithm called *Jacobi's method* is available. Specifically, given any $n \times n$ matrix A , Jacobi's method attempts to solve $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ as follows. We first choose any initial vector $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$. Then, given $\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)}$, we define $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ by the equation

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{y_i}{A_{ii}} - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \left(\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{ii}} \right) x_j^{(k-1)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (6)$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{r}^{(k)} = cP^T\mathbf{r}^{(k-1)} + (1 - c)\mathbf{e}. \quad (7)$$

12 pts

3. (i). We have, recalling that $S_{pq} = s_p \delta_{pq}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{ij} &= \sum_{p=1}^m U_{ip} (SV^T)_{pj} \\
 &= \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n U_{ip} S_{pq} V_{jq} \\
 &= \sum_{p=1}^n s_p U_{ip} V_{jp} \\
 &= \sum_{p=1}^n s_p \mathbf{u}_p(i) \mathbf{v}_p(j) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{p=1}^n s_p \mathbf{u}_p \mathbf{v}_p^T \right)_{ij},
 \end{aligned}$$

as required.

6 pts

(ii). We have

$$A_r \mathbf{v}_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^r s_k \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{v}_k^T \mathbf{v}_\ell = 0,$$

if $\ell > r$.

3 pts

(iii). We have

$$A_r \mathbf{x} = \sum_{k=1}^r s_k (\mathbf{v}_k^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}_k.$$

3 pts

(iv). The orthogonal invariance of the Frobenius norm implies

$$\|A - A_r\|_F^2 = \|S - S_r\|_F^2 = s_{r+1}^2 + \cdots + s_n^2,$$

where $S_r = \text{diag} \{s_1, \dots, s_r, 0, \dots, 0\}$.

3 pts

(v). We have $\|(A - A_r)\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|(S - S_r)\mathbf{y}\|_2$, where $\mathbf{y} = V^T \mathbf{x}$ and $\|\mathbf{y}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$. Now

$$\|(S - S_r)\mathbf{y}\|_2^2 = s_{r+1}^2 y_{r+1}^2 + \cdots + s_n^2 y_n^2 \leq s_{r+1}^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2,$$

because $s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_n$. Hence $\|(A - A_r)\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq s_{r+1} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$, as required.

5 pts